

REMARKS

Claim 17 has been amended. Claims 17 and 24-27 remain in prosecution.

It is believed that the amendment to claim 17 has obviated the Examiner's rejections with respect to the term "R₁R₂".

In response to the Examiner's rejections with respect to the terms "substituted naphthyls and substituted phenyls", it is submitted that one of skill in the art would be reasonably apprised of the scope of the claims when read in view of the specification and that one skilled in the art would be able to make and use the claimed invention without undue experimentation.¹ See pages 37-61 of the specification.

Applicant respectfully submits that this amendment be entered as it raises no new issues, does not require an additional search and places the claims in better condition for appeal.

Respectfully submitted



Richard L. Stevens, Jr.
Registration No. 44,357
Samuels, Gauthier & Stevens
225 Franklin Street, Suite 3300
Boston, Massachusetts 02110
Telephone: (617) 426-9180
Extension 123

¹ It is believed that any issues regarding the term "substituted naphthyls" were resolved in the interview that occurred on April 24, 2002. See Interview Summary Record dated April 24, 2002.